

## UNITED STATE EPARTMENT OF COMMERCE Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS

Washington, D.C. 20231

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAME	D INVENTOR		ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.
09/253,048	02/19/99	INAGAKI		Υ	P98.2701
_		IM52/091:	-	EXAMINER	
SONNENSCHEIN NATH & ROSENTHAL 8000 SEARS TOWER			•••	MARKE ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
233 SOUTH V CHICAGO IL				1746	18
				DATE MAILED:	
					09/13/01

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

**Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks** 

## Advisory Action

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
09/253,048	INAGAKI ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
Alexander Markoff	1746	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

THE REPLY FILED 29 August 2001 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.

Exam	ination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.
	PERIOD FOR REPLY [check either a) or b)]
	The period for reply expires $\underline{5}$ months from the mailing date of the final rejection.
b) [	The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.  ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).
have be 37 CFR (b) abov	tensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee en filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in ve, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).
1.	A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal.
2.🛛	The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because:
(a	they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);
(b	they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);
(c	they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or
(d	they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.
	NOTE: See Continuation Sheet.
3.	Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):
4.	Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s).
5.	The a) affidavit, b) exhibit, or c) request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:
6.	The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.
7.	For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a) will not be entered or b) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.
	The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:
	Claim(s) allowed:
	Claim(s) objected to:
	Claim(s) rejected: <u>10-18 and 20-25</u> .
	Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: <u>1-9 and 19</u> .
8.	The proposed drawing correction filed on is a) approved or b) disapproved by the Examiner.
9.[	Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)( PTO-1449) Paper No(s).
10.🖾	Other: See Continuation She t  ALEXANDER MARKOFF PRIMARY EXAMINER  Alexander Markoff Primary Examiner Art Unit: 1746

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office

Continuation of 2. NOTE: The Applicants proposed to amend the claims to recite that the polymer is a waste material. Such limitation was not previously presented and considered. Thereby the proposed amendment raises new issues that would require further consideration and/or search.

Continuation of 10. Other: It is noted that the Applicants filed the priority papers and now argue that Iganaki et al was not properly cited because of the priory date of the instant application. It is noted that the applicants cannot rely upon the foreign priority papers to overcome the rejection because a translation of these papers has not been made of the record in accordance with 37 CFR 1.55. See MPEP 201.15.